

## **HISTORIC AND NATURAL ENVIRONMENT**

The following have been identified by the Neighbourhood Plan Group as heritage and natural assets of the Parish, which residents may like retained and protected by policies in our Neighbourhood Plan, in a manner appropriate to their significance, so they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life in this and future generations.

The following buildings, monuments, archaeological sites, places, spaces, valued landscapes and geological conservation interests are in addition to those already designated, Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Grade I/II Listed, within the South Downs National Park or protected by the Plaistow Conservation Area.

### **Historical Buildings and Features:**

- Butlers, Hogwood Road, Ifold
- Hogwood House, Hogwood Road, Ifold
- Ifold Cottage, Plaistow Road, Ifold
- The Olde Garden, The Lane, Ifold
- The Wall (from the walled garden of the original Ifold House)
- Trelayne, Chalk Road, Ifold

### **Historical Natural Features and Green Space:**

- Barn Wood (Ancient Woodland)
- Corner Copse, Ifold (Woodland Trust land)
- Loxwoodhills Pond, The Drive, Ifold
- Oak Trees on the verges of Ifold Estate.
- Poundfield Wood (Ancient Woodland)
- Nell Ball Hill (trig point) unscheduled field monument

### **Community Assets:**

- Football Field, Plaistow
- Ifold Scout, Girl Guide HQ
- Kelsey Hall, Ifold
- Little Acorns Preschool, Ifold
- Plaistow Pre-School
- Plaistow Youth Club
- Tennis Courts, Plaistow
- Winterton Hall, Plaistow

**Have we missed anything? Would you like to comment on those we've identified?**

## **RESPONSES WRITTEN ON POST-IT NOTES**

### **1. HISTORICAL & NATURAL ENVIRONMENT**

- We need to keep all of our historical assets and features please.
- They all need to be retained [those community assets listed].
- All of the community assets need protection.
- Resist 'Noise' to conserve "rural, tranquil" area.
- Ifold Motors is a community asset to add to this list, it is a very frequented service.
- Keepers Cottage and The Lodge, Ifold need to be included.

#### **1.1 HOLY TRINITY CHURCH, PLAISTOW:**

- Holy Trinity Church, Plaistow should be added to the community asset register and protected. We should keep Plaistow Church as a place of worship but should support the Church if they want to alter the interior for example, to add toilets and kitchen facilities to better utilize the building in addition to services.

#### **1.2 FOOTBALL PITCH, PLAISTOW:**

- Definitely do not move the football field. It is well used and should stay where it is.
- The Football Field in Plaistow should be designated green space – this is an important village asset with much history.
- The football pitch is fine where it is as we have already lost the cricket team!
- Plaistow has already lost the cricket team, the football field should remain where it is.
- The football pitch should stay – with more residents we need more for young people to do!

#### **1.3 LOXWOODHILLS POND, IFOLD:**

- Protect Loxwoodhills Pond, it has historic links to the original Ifold House and is a beautiful amenity.
- Loxwoodhills Pond is wonderful, the start of my regular walk, please preserve it, thank you.
- Please preserve Loxwoodhills Pond, it is a beautiful area and enjoyed by many as they walk by.
- Loxwoodhills Pond and water meadows must be kept protected as a community asset.
- Loxwoodhills Pond and the area beyond on the way to Loxwood [Water Meadows], is used by so many, please keep it safe.
- Can the lake [Loxwoodhills Pond] be used as community asset? Walk around/fish etc.
- The Loxwoodhills Pond should be protected as a community asset for the enjoyment of local residents. This should include the surrounding flood areas.
- Perhaps a community garden in the area of Ifold, around the lake would be a great idea.

#### **1.4 OAK TREE STORES, IFOLD:**

- Please keep the 2nd Oak Tree at Ifold Village Stores, it's beautiful.
- The oak tree outside of Oak Tree Stores needs protection, not because it is a good specimen tree but because it is an historical landmark for Ifold.

#### **1.5 NELL BALL HILL, PLAISTOW:**

- Protect Nell Ball Hill trig point.
- Protect, conserve ancient sites, eg. glassworks and Nell Ball.
- I am worried that Nell Ball Hill is being ruined.
- Protect Nell Ball Hill, it is completely being ruined and I would love to know what is going on.
- Land near and surrounding Nell Ball Hill needs preserving. So no development. Already a digger has moved heaps of soil nearby. What is going on there, a new reservoir or underground house? We need our woodland in and around Plaistow – although some homes seem to spring up in them without planning permission then retrospective planning permission is applied for.

**1.6 SCOUT GUIDE HUT, IFOLD:**

- The Scout Hut has been important to generations of children in an area where there isn't very much for them to do.
- The Scout Hut needs to be retained and protected.

**1.7 WILDLIFE:** Protect "protected species".

**1.8 ANCIENT WOODLAND:**

- Conserve / manage Ancient Woodland.
- Protect all Ancient Woodland and retain the rural feel of the villages. Enough damage has already been done i.e. Nell Ball and Crouchland's woods.
- We must preserve ancient woodland.

**2. LOCAL PLAN:** Strength through 'The Plan'

**3. PARISH INFRASTRUCTURE**

- Refuse intensified farming with associated traffic and damage to roads / Listed properties.

**4. IFOLD INFRASTRUCTURE**

- Footpath on the north side of Plaistow Road - it is a danger to walk to bus stop and shop, also to get into parts of Ifold.
- We need a footpath on both sides of the road [Plaistow Road]. Very dangerous for walking prams, dogs etc.
- Ifold is already overdeveloped. Sites accessed by Ifold's [public] footpaths and bridleways Should Not be approved, as there are no pavements for pedestrians and speed limits are routinely ignored by both residents and commercial drivers.
- Naïve to think car usage will be reduced at all significantly. People will use cars to go further afield. Currently impossible to park at nearest Railway Stations – increased housing will make this situation worse unless proper i.e. frequent and reliable public transport is in place first!
- Additional concern over a plot – Furzedown - for development in The Ride, Ifold. I understand that this plot is being 'sold on' again. It backs onto another plot in Ifoldhurst where the resident has died and the plot is up for sale or sold. Should these 2 plots be purchased by one developer it is essential that there is NO THROUGH ROAD from Ifoldhurst to The Ride. As this would then become a 'short cut' into The Ride from Plaistow Road and vice versa bringing considerably more traffic to 'The Ride' which is a much 'narrower' road than The Drive or Chalk Road, i.e. to the garage/deliveries of building materials, oil etc.
- I would like to see a playground, a school and a Church in Ifold.

**5. PLAISTOW INFRASTRUCTURE**

- Restrict parking outside the school.
- School facilities need improvement to cope with student increase. Drop-off and Pick-up is a huge traffic disruption.
- Is there anyway we can improve drop-off / pick-up from the school as part of the Plan?
- School Chaos. How will school cope with more pupils and traffic?

**6. POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES: 1st SIEVE - IFOLD SITES**

**6.1 BARN WOOD, IFOLD**

- Barn Wood should never have been considered as a potential development site. It is ancient woodland.
- Hogwood Road would not be able to cope with extra traffic – already feeling the strain with delivery vans etc.
- We must preserve ancient woodland.
- Save the ancient woodland.

## **6.2 THE LANE, IFOLD**

- Protect The Lane from further development, the only real rural area left in Ifold.
- The Lane is not an appropriate place for a recreational site. It is an unmade bridleway and unable to cope with excess vehicles.
- No further development down The Lane, keep the area rural.
- Protect The Lanes (The Lane and Ifold Bridge Lane) from development. They are a rural amenity worth protecting due to the outside, leisure amenity they offer.
- Rejected by residents as last of the rural lanes enjoyed by villagers, horse riders, dog walkers etc.. Shame if more traffic.
- Bad choice let's try to keep additional traffic on rotten estate roads to a minimum and how does it help to support local amenities?
- This site will add to the road congestion, increased within the last 10 years. Not pleasant at present to walk with children and dogs, as there are no pavements or street lighting. Cars do speed, albeit 20MPH limit no difference! Please do not add to the current problems.
- Preserve Woodland.
- Not suitable for more than 1 house because of traffic volumes.
- Protect all the mature trees on this site.
- Could this be developed for multi-leisure use - football pitch, cricket pitch, bowls green with clubhouse. Is there parking potential?
- Let's try to keep additional traffic on rotten estate roads to a minimum and how does it help support local amenities?

## **6.3 STRUDGWICK FARM, IFOLD**

- Strudgwick Farm - the proposed development of 3 dwellings would set a precedent as it would immediately front the Plaistow Road approx. centre of a section of half mile with no housing to the South of the road changing the rural views.

## **7. POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES: 1st SIEVE - PLAISTOW SITES**

- No.
- Resist: we want Plaistow to retain its rural and peaceful atmosphere with no commercial development (i.e. Crouchland).

### **7.1 OLD BARKFOLD, PLAISTOW**

- A difficult corner for the traffic anyway which development would only make worse.

### **7.2 BUSH HOUSE / CLEMENTS**

- Not big enough site – does not meet the criteria.
- No. Would be one large unit – small properties needed.
- No.
- It is not ideal for a number of reasons not least distance from Village centre and continuation of ribbon development.

**8. POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES - 2nd SIEVE**

**8.1 LAND OPPOSITE THE GREEN AND ADJACENT TO COMMON HOUSE, PLAISTOW**

- Houses built here would be obtrusive due to the elevation of the field.
- This is by far the best site access by Little Coppice.
- Would we get better roads and school infrastructure?
- I think using this site would change Plaistow from a rural village to an urban village and the character would be changed forever.
- The field in the centre of the village should remain as a green space in order to retain the character of Plaistow village.
- This would have a big impact on the character of the village.
- No.
- If access could be sorted then this would appear to provide the space for houses; plus access to village amenities without needed cars. A real potential solution.
- This field is the highest in Plaistow. Building houses on it would be quite dominating.
- To build here would change the whole ambience of the village.
- Within scope of village with access to Church / school / shops and confines of village.
- Land opposite the Green. Good for small units for the elderly BUT there must be adequate planning for each unit. Best site of all proposed.
- To build here would in fact help the village by giving our elderly a place to live in the heart of the village and to be able to still use the facilities.
- This is the best option as it would give access to the village to the occupants – old and young. The inclusive village option.
- The land opposite the green in Plaistow appears to be the best proposition for a development of 10 houses. This could benefit both elderly residents with access to the shop and bus service as well as young families with the proximity to both the preschool in Winterton Hall and the school. This has to be the preferred site.
- A row of Alms Houses (style) along the side of this site for elderly residents to downsize to.
- Without doubt the best option with a real likelihood of adding to the amenity of the Parish. Especially if we have homes for downsizing.
- Any Events on the village Green need parking, eg. Maypole Fete. Where would parking for events be? Would events be cancelled due to traffic disruption?
- From a builders perspective the most appropriate site is the field adjacent to Common House.

## **8.2 LAND ADJACENT TO TODHURST, PLAISTOW**

- I am concerned about access for fire and ambulance services to land behind 'Nell Ball' – Todhurst. This development sets a precedent to tag on to land attached to the conservation area. It is not only nightingales living in this woodland, there are bats, slow worms, and many others.
- Please protect woodlands.
- Please don't take our trees.
- If houses need to be built it should not involve felling woodland, it should be on an open field.
- It will make the area even more congested than it already is.
- Best Site. Existing houses are unattractive and thus development would enhance the village. All other suggestions have significant detrimental impact on village character, infrastructure and wildlife.
- No.
- The problem here is the access which currently isn't ideal.
- Such a shame that this site is chosen as an option. It's a haven of wildlife with adders, nightingales etc. in situ!
- An already overcrowded area with major congestion and parking issues. Impact on wildlife, particularly nightingales. Impact on local adjacent listed building. Increase in traffic for school, already a danger!
- If you look at the overall village layout the Todhurst or Land opposite the Green would appear to be the natural land areas for 10-12 houses and a sensible infill.
- Building here is not a good idea. Already the houses are built on top of each other and we have to consider how emergency vehicles will get through to those who need it.
- Wildlife in no particular order: nightingales, bats, buzzards, owls, kestrels, slow worms, door mice, butterflies, badgers, thrushes, wrens, robins and all the other wildlife that inhabits woodland!
- Access through Nell Ball is already difficult. This would make it worse. The impact on this lovely natural area is unacceptable.
- Please preserve the woodland.
- There are so many bugs and woodland creatures in this woodland.
- Access will be an issue as the roads are congested due to parked cars.
- Access to this site would make it even more congested. 10 houses will result in another 15-20 cars going in or out of Nell Ball and Oakfield.
- Surely this is woodland? Isn't this where the children go for their woodland walks?
- In this woodland there are many different trees, they are very popular to us children and many of them are ancient.
- It is always waterlogged all over this woodland.

## **POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES - 2<sup>nd</sup> SIEVE /Continued...**

### **8.3 LAND NORTH OF LITTLE SPRINGFIELD**

- Low impact on the village [Ifold]. Access to local amenities. Near main road. Low impact for environment.
- This looks like the best of the four options to me, with the least impact.
- This looks to me to be the best solution. No old woodland to cut down. Great access to “safe” roads and infrastructure. No flooding possible. Build at Springfield so won’t look out of place.
- Before you know it, the precedent will allow development all along this side of the [Plaistow] Road.
- Concern of other fields being bought and developed over time.
- Ribbon development far from ideal. That said if we decide to develop in Ifold, South of the [Plaistow] road has real merit.
- Building South of Ifold would only set a precedent for further development in this area. Any construction South of Ifold would permanently damage the area character.
- We need to focus development where we have facilities, in order to help to keep them. Ifold is a mess frankly – don’t let’s make it a bigger one.
- Keepers Cottage is a listed property! [opposite this proposed site]
- No trees to cut down! Good Access so less strain on already bad roads. Should be building on brown not greenfield!
- Best option low impact on general environment.
- I strongly object to any development on the land to the North of Little Springfield, Ifold. This land is outside of the existing settlement boundary and would eventually lead to further development of the adjoining field (derelict at present) leading to Foxbridge Lane – an area that CDC have looked at for development in the future. The proposal of 10 houses on the land North of Little Springfield would give a density of 22 to the hectare which would totally change the character of Ifold and have insufficient facilities to support it.
- “I strongly object to any development on the land to the North of Little Springfield, Ifold. This land is outside of the existing settlement boundary and would eventually lead to further development of the adjoining field (derelict at present) leading to Foxbridge Lane – an area that CDC have looked at for development in the future. The proposal of 10 houses on the land North of Little Springfield would give a density of 22 to the hectare which would totally change the character of Ifold and have insufficient facilities to support it.” Well said, I agree too.
- “I strongly object to any development on the land to the North of Little Springfield, Ifold. This land is outside of the existing settlement boundary and would eventually lead to further development of the adjoining field (derelict at present) leading to Foxbridge Lane – an area that CDC have looked at for development in the future. The proposal of 10 houses on the land North of Little Springfield would give a density of 22 to the hectare which would totally change the character of Ifold and have insufficient facilities to support it.” I totally agree.
- Ifold is already overdeveloped, particularly on the Southern boundaries. Any settlement South of the Plaistow Road would significantly harm the character of the village.
- In providing affordable housing people need to be comfortable with where they are going to live. Living amongst similar housing with good local facilities is vital. Ifold does not offer these, Plaistow does. There are plenty of smaller houses and a school, a good shop and bus stop.
- No footpaths in Ifold, walking dogs is dangerous! Speed limit of 30MPH or even 20MPH should be enforced. More buses.
- Ifold is already overdeveloped (see Google Earth). We do not need anymore development and the loss of open land.

## **POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES - 2<sup>nd</sup> SIEVE /Continued...**

### **8.4 SHORTLANDS, PLAISTOW - ADJACENT TO DURFOLD WOOD**

- Close to Grade II listed buildings.
- What about the rest of us! [it's close to our houses too]
- We must preserve ancient woodland.
- Newts in pond and other wildlife? Deer and badger both call this site home. Badger the symbol of Plaistow!
- You will need transport if this site. Very isolated. Very bad, fast road and no public transport. Ancient woodland should be protectd. This will have massive impact.
- This area is part of Chiddingfold forest (SSSI). There is an important Forestry Commission consultations beginning in April 2016. I agree totally criminal to build on such a site.
- This would be very sad, it is an area with wonderful nightingales, bats, sow worms, newts, deer, etc... It is very rural with no public transport and it's not practical.
- This proposal at Durfold Wood is only possible if the transport (Public) system was improved. both a requirement for the elderly and young families.
- Broadband is already very poor in Durfold Wood. Services overloaded as not originally built for so many houses – drainage etc... Electricity often problematic due to overloading and overhead cables. Very little transport links. No path – dangerous road. 2 miles into village. Often not gritted in winter. No late school bus – children left on the village Green at 5pm.
- As older houses are enlarged. More low cost 2/3 bedroom houses are needed – not large mansions.
- This would have the least impact visually as it is set back and merely extends on the existing settlement (unlike Ifold – North of Little Springfiel) or the village green. A very good choice.
- This area is ancient woodland that should be preserved as part of the Chiddingfold Forest area. The woodland in Durfold Wood has already been impoverished through developments in the road in recent years and this would be a further blow. The density of 10 houses seems far too high (assuming development) considering the lake and surrounding streams.
- Ancient woodland with a land management plan and very poor access. Dunsfold Road is dangerous as it is! No good public services near here.
- Shortlands best site for housing in Plaistow.

### **9. IFOLD VDS**

- I support a VDS for Ifold to set criteria to limit density of housing and further reduction in number of trees and green spaces.
- Windfall Development is causing a massive strain on Ifold infrastructure. Infrastructure is not being upgraded in conjunction with housing.
- I cannot understand why “windfall” development does not count – this is a large area of development. Why are there allowed to be large houses built on this land which are no in any way affordable to the majority?
- I moved from Horsham to Ifold 14 years ago to get away from the constant development. Please don't let Ifold turn into a mini Southgate!
- Originally the houses were bungalows or chalet-bungalow styles. More recently, new builds have looked more like small mansions, spoiling the rural “cottagey” atmosphere of the village. Huge fence-to-fence houses are not in keeping and often built with a loss of a characterful “English” cottage!
- Interesting to note the density of Bradstow Lodge site. Similar density could be achieved by some consolidation of part plots with smaller buildings suitable for ageing residents to downsize within Ifold.



**10. NEW POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITE: FOXBRIDGE GOLF COURSE**

- It would be a disaster to lose the facility of Foxbridge. So many people use the club house - personally I use it frequently. We need to keep this as our small community.
- Retain Foxbridge Gold Club - note - not suitable for housing land, had been drained for current use. Paul Clark did a good job when the course originally constructed.
- Pleased to hear someone local has bought Foxbridge. Do hope it can remain as a social centre for the community and perhaps expand. A swimming pool and other recreational facilities would be nice, but obviously very expensive. Whatever, please we must not lose it.
- It should be kept with a leisure theme, I like clay pigeon shooting and drive all the way to Forest Green for this. There are shooting syndicates around here that would love a local clay shoot. Also, tennis courts would be good, Plaistow aren't great. Nice bar with local beer from places like Firebird. Make it more a community meeting place.
- I would hate to lose Foxbridge Golf Club in order to build houses. It has become a welcome feature in Ifold. Please leave it as a hub for residents to meet. The open space of peace and quiet is a great asset to the area. I am sure it helps protect our property prices.
- It would be sensible to retain and extend the club house as a community asset. Possibly provide a swimming pool, gym etc.
- A local gym, swimming pool and modern tennis facilities would be a much needed addition to the area.
- Play area!!
- The Foxbridge site should be developed into a leisure facility incorporating access to a swimming pool, sports pitches, tennis courts, squash courts, brasserie and hall for entertainment.
- A community garden in the Parish for social activity for retired. Education for local school. Meeting point for elderly. Food for those that need the food bank. Produce for locals. There are grounds for this. Would enhance local community.
- It was bad to lose the farm, it would be disastrous to lose the open space of the golf club.
- It would be ideal to have leisure centre, pool and a gym.
- (Written by a child and accompanied by a drawing) I would like a playground.
- Keep it as a social/club house for the locals. Gym would be good / Pub.
- Let's development some sense of community here - leisure, shop, nursery, play area for children and keep the pub and social facilities - it could be the hub of Ifold.
- Maintain a social centre at Foxbridge run by Elaine.
- Foxbridge Club should remain and Elaine fantastic. Add a few houses/flats on golf course, add pool, gym, steam Jacuzzi in club house. Safe - dog walking, riding, cycle paths etc. Playground space and a pitch? We need shop and café and parking. Stop Crouchlands ruining our rural lifestyle.
- Swimming pool and gym would be great.
- A shame to lose the golf course, be great to keep the area as rural land. No residential development. Protect this rural land. Potential for a gym, pool, tennis.
- No houses on Foxbridge. Retain as a possible site for community activities in clubhouse. Keep golf course as an area to walk and exercise.
- Community centre /pub /swimming pool /gym and a small housing development.
- A clubhouse, pub, community meeting place would be a good idea. Swimming pool, gym, café.
- It would be nice to keep Foxbridge as some sort of leisure facility.
- I would like the clubhouse to remain with possibly a recreational park for children.
- Change of use to residential housing would put a huge strain on the village infrastructure. Just a clubhouse is insufficient. Will the LA increase schooling etc? I would say NO to change of use.
- The golf course should be retained if at all possible. Failing that, it could be developed into a leisure facility. Housing would be the worst possible outcome as infrastructure can't cope.
- So huge building lorries and bio-fuel lorries!
- Children's playground, also need a shop.

**NEW POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITE: FOXBRIDGE GOLF COURSE /Continued...**

- It would be disastrous if a change-of-use was granted for Foxbridge. The golf course and open space should be preserved. The club house could be developed with additional leisure facilities in order to benefit the entire local community.
- It might be nice to retain a golf course or alternatively a leisure centre.
- We should keep Foxbridge as a local facility. What we do need is a shop.
- I would like the clubhouse retained for the Parish community - a pub/function facility.
- Foxbridge Golf Club (and the clubhouse) is a wonderful asset to the community. It would be terrible if we lost it and Elaine.
- Hear Hear (to the above post-it note)
- Retain the clubhouse for pub/functions. Could provide housing answer? Playground for children and shop.
- Foxbridge Golf Club should be redeveloped in keeping etc., clubhouse continues as a local facility. Allow small house development around the outskirts of golf club to suit downsizing Ifold residents.
- Foxbridge Lane cannot cope with bio-fuel lorries. How will it manage with building vehicles plus eventual extra cars etc from housing?
- A pod and leisure facilities would be great! Plus keeping the clubhouse as a function hall.
- Use the clubhouse and hard standing as a community asset, but no intensive housing development.
- We need a local gym, swimming pool etc.
- Shop, clay pigeon shooting.
- I'm worried this development may help Crouchland Biogas and its associated companies!
- A community building with catering and leisure facilities (sports hall, hot tub, etc) to take advantage of existing assets.
- If leisure facilities with a Club House could be utilized at Foxbridge GC – Football pitch, cricket pitch, perhaps a bowling green then we could use Plaistow football pitch for housing.
- This site would have the least impact on the residents in the Parish. Must keep a social centre for eating/drinking socials.
- The Golf Club, including social activities for all people not just golfers, is an asset to the community. However, I accept that it cannot continue in its present form if it is not profitable. Could the new owner further develop the social side alongside a mix of affordable housing (of a high quality) and family housing so that overall it becomes a viable business proposition? Marketing of the social activities to other Parishes would help.
- Does the changes at Foxbridge GC create an opportunity for a leisure area for the villages: putting green / bowls / cricket pitch / football pitch. one centre of leisure activity for the community. Housing could be built on Plaistow football pitch to balance entry to the village.
- Can the leisure facilities be extended swimming pool, gym. perhaps some small enabling development residential scheme for elderly.
- Both the golf course and the Club House social facilities are an asset to our community – especially as Ifold does not have a pub (or at the moment a shop). They should be kept at all possible cost.
- Foxbridge Golf Club is such a wonderful community asset. It is sad that it is not profitable despite brilliant management and service to locals especially Ifold ones (no pubs in Ifold). Creative thinking from many is needed here!
- Building houses here would be a great idea and will help the community.
- Move football pitch to Foxbridge. Could then develop Plaistow football pitch?
- If not profitable redevelopment may be necessary, but should include provision for village centre eg. Ifold lacks space for community events.
- Foxbridge has its own sewage facilities and therefore not a burden on overload infrastructure.
- Move the football pitch to Foxbridge realign the very dangerous road out of Plaistow at the football pitch then redevelop the land for reasonably priced houses for local people only.

**NEW POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITE: FOXBRIDGE GOLF COURSE /Continued...**

- Any building on a brownfield site should be considered before green fields development.
- “Any building on a brownfield site should be considered before green fields development.” I agree!
- In favour of a mixed development at Foxbridge along with a leisure centre, cycle and footpaths. Sports pitches and community shop on the site of current club house as plenty of parking available.
- I would entertain sympathetic development if the golf course could be preserved!
- Developing Foxbridge GC for football, cricket pitch, perhaps bowling green would allow Plaistow to put housing on existing football pitch without affecting centre of village.
- How about moving the football pitch to Foxbridge and building on the football pitch!
- It's a possible site for housing to enable Public Open Space to serve Ifold. A new village centre.

**11. CALL FOR MORE SITES**

- Possible site – land either side of Rumbolds Lane.
- Move the football pitch and build houses. Then – move the football pitch to the old cricket pitch which is not used any more. The Parish Council owns both fields for sports - so this could be the easy way out.
- Land adjacent to Corner Copse: Possible site for housing development but to include new public open space for Ifold – may be new village centre. Have 30MPH speed limit. Make footpath, cycle route through to Golf Course and Whephurst Woods.
- Land adjacent to Corner Copse: Absolutely not! Green belt area, needs protecting.